**Case Study (Data Analysis)**

**Using Data Analysis for Detecting Credit Card Fraud**

Companies today are employing analytical techniques for the early detection of credit card frauds, a key factor in mitigating fraud damage. The most common type of credit card fraud does not involve the physical stealing of the card, but that of credit card credentials, which are then used for online purchases.

Imagine that you have been hired as a Data Analyst to work in the Credit Card Division of a bank. And your first assignment is to join your team in using data analysis for the early detection and mitigation of credit card fraud.

In order to prescribe a way forward, that is, suggest what should be done in order for fraud to get detected early on, you need to understand what a fraudulent transaction looks like. And for that you need to start by looking at historical data.

**Here is a sample data set that captures the credit card transaction details for a few users.**


Descriptive techniques of analysis, that is, techniques that help you gain an understanding of what happened, include the identification of patterns and anomalies in data. Anomalies signify a variation in a pattern that seems uncharacteristic, or, out of the ordinary. Anomalies may occur for perfectly valid and genuine reasons, but they do warrant an evaluation because they can be a sign of fraudulent activity.

**Past studies have suggested that some of the common events that you may need to watch out for include:**

* A change in frequency of orders placed, for example, a customer who typically places a couple of orders a month, suddenly makes numerous transactions within a short span of time, sometimes within minutes of the previous order.
* Orders that are significantly higher than a user’s average transaction.
* Bulk orders of the same item with slight variations such as color or size—especially if this is atypical of the user’s transaction history.
* A sudden change in delivery preference, for example, a change from home or office delivery address to in-store, warehouse, or PO Box delivery.
* A mismatched IP Address, or an IP Address that is not from the general location or area of the billing address.

**Before you can analyze the data for patterns and anomalies, you need to:**

* **Identify and gather all data points that can be of relevance to your use case.** For example, the card holder’s details, transaction details, delivery details, location, and network are some of the data points that could be explored.
* **Clean the data.** You need to identify and fix issues in the data that can lead to false or incomplete findings, such as missing data values and incorrect data. You may also need to standardize data formats in some cases, for example, the date fields.

Finally, when you arrive at the findings, you will create appropriate visualizations that communicate your findings to your audience. The graph below samples one such visualization that you would use to capture a trend hidden in the sample data set shared earlier on in the case study. 

**Questions based on this case study:**

1. List at least 5 (five) data points that are required for the analysis and detection of a credit card fraud. (3 marks)
2. Identify 3 (three) errors/issues that could impact the accuracy of your findings, based on a data table provided. (3 marks)
3. Identify 2 (two) anomalies, or unexpected behaviors, that would lead you to believe the transaction may be suspect, based on a data table provided. (2 marks)
4. Briefly explain your key take-away from the provided data visualization chart. (1 mark)
5. Identify the type of analysis that you are performing when you are analyzing historical credit card data to understand what a fraudulent transaction looks like. [Hint: The four types of Analytics include: Descriptive, Diagnostic, Predictive, Prescriptive] (1 mark)

**Analysis & Findings**

1. Five points that are detected on which we can analyze the fraud are mentioned below.
   * IP address
   * User ID and account no.
   * Shipping address
   * Transaction date and Transaction time
   * Transaction value and units purchased
2. Three errors/issues that could impact the accuracy of analysis, based on the data given in above table:
   * Missing IP address in column 1, row number 5 and 9
   * Empty transaction value in transaction value column, row number 3
   * Improper date format in Transaction date column.
3. Two anomalies, or unexpected behaviours, that would lead you to believe the transaction may be suspect, based on a data table provide above in case study.
   * IP address and shipping address change for user Johnp and transaction value and unit number were much higher than usual.
   * IP address and shipping address have been changed for user ellend and transaction value was much bigger than usual transaction.
4. Brief explanation my key findings from the provided data visualization chart given above in case study.

* The visualization chart shows the transaction value for all three users

Per transactions.

Key findings from the above visualization is the massive increase in transaction values for users johnp and ellend. This may indicate and anamoly.

1. Type of analysis that I’m performing while analyzing credit card data to understand what a fraudulent transaction looks like is mentioned below:
   * I used descriptive analysis to explain what happened in this case study. There are two user accounts that show credit card fraud. This is evidenced by the very high transaction value of the average user's credit card usage.

Thank you.

My name: Kumud Prajapati

Date: 29th March 2024